

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,212	06/20/2003	William T. Rochford	82200APAL	5833
7590 08/09/2004			EXAMINER	
Paul A. Leipold			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
Patent Legal St	aff			
Eastman Kodak	c Company	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			1752	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/600,212	ROCHFORD ET	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Richard L Schilling	1752				
The N	MAILING DATE of this communication y	appears on the cover st	eet with the correspondence a	nddress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) M - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receives	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION me may be available under the provisions of 37 CF DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pewithin the set or extended period for reply will, by sized by the Office later than three months after the nerm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however n. a reply within the statutory minimu eriod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered tim (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on 1	12 July 2004.					
2a)⊠ This ad	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	Claims						
4a) Of t 5)	s) <u>1,2,4-9,13-20 and 26-29</u> is/are pethe above claim(s) is/are with s) is/are allowed. s) <u>1,2,4-9,13-20,26-29</u> is/are rejectes) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration	on.				
Application Pap	ers						
9)☐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)☐ The dra	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the col ih or declaration is objected to by the			, ,			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknow a) All 1. C 2. C 3. C	rledgment is made of a claim for fore (b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the priority docum	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s)							
	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		ice of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Serial No. 10/600,212

Art Unit 1752

- 1. The terminal disclaimer has been approved and recorded overcoming the obvious-type double patenting rejection.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 13-20 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Aylward et al. for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 3 of the first Office action filed April 9, 2004. Applicants' argument that Aylward et al. do not disclose printing on a photographic image in registration with the image is unconvincing. The printed areas in the imaged elements of Aylward et al. are over the dye images formed from couplers and are in "registration" therewith, e.g. to make it copyproof or provide a border. There is no requirement in the instant claims that the said printing be in or on the same layer of the element containing the dye image.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 4-8 and 13-19 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of
 Hannon and Wingender for the same reasons as set forth in
 paragraph 4 of the first Office action. Applicants' argument
 that Hannon does not disclose overprinting a photograph is
 unconvincing since the image materials in Hannon comprise
 photographic images with ink printed images on layers on top of
 the photographic images. Overprinting in applicants'
 specification, page 13, includes printing on protective overcoats

Serial No. 10/600,212

Art Unit 1752

on silver halide emulsion layers. The images in Hannon include photographic images with printed ink patterns over the images even though the ink patterns are not directly printed on the same layer containing the dye images.

- 4. Claims 1, 4-7, 15-19 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dean et al. for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 6 of the first Office action. The "printing" of the instant claims is not defined in the specification or specified to include printing which would exclude the methods disclosed in Dean et al. for printing by dyeing relief images.
- 5. The rejection using Bourdelais et al. is withdrawn since the printing in Bourdelais seems to be only on the support materials and between the support materials and image layers and not over the image layers.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL

Art Unit 1752

ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (571) 272-1335.

RLSchilling:cdc

August 5, 2004

RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1100 /78